MDD Migration to UNC – 'Strawman'

Background

- MDD currently managed within SPAA
- Separate list managed in UK Link system by Xoserve

- Planned migration to CDSP to maintain Market Participant Id MDD as part of REC

- CDSP will be responsible for supplying:
  - Market Participant Identifiers
  - Maintaining the Shipper to Supplier Relationship Table
  - Maintaining the Transporter to Shipper Relationship Table

- Joint UNC / SPAA working group will take place on 13th December

Preliminary Discussion points:

- ElectraLink have conducted a review of the process and highlighted some existing considerations for the process

- Xoserve has conducted some very preliminary thinking

- Jointly wanted to share some of the key points, in terms of thinking

- Principles proposed:
  - Removing barriers to entry
  - Simplifying the existing process
  - Making arrangements inclusive and fair, noting that certain Market Participants are not UNC Parties
  - Making the end to end process expedient
  - Making decision making robust and objective
  - Making provisions fit for future nature of industry

Preliminary Discussion points:

- Currently, individual MDD approvals required by SPAA CB.
  - Planned to use DSC Committee (Change / Contract – TBC) to ratify revised 'MDD Market Participant Identifier version'.
  - Planned to use Change Pack process for Amend / Delete Participants for representations from existing Market Participants
    - Challenge how are all industry participants engaged?
  - Planned to define Guidance / Verification Criteria for Add Participants as these should be verifiable against data available – e.g. Licencing / Companies House
    - Challenge how existing Market Participants can impact assess additions in a timely manner so as not to impact entry?

- Currently only SPAA parties can raise MDD proposals
  - Planned that all parties controlled by MDD can raise requests (in some instances this might be a consequence of other processes (e.g. Shipper Accession to UNC)) using the existing templates

- CDSP may raise changes to SPAA MDD
  - Planned that this continues, and CDSP shall seek to demonstrate that impacted Market Participant has been consulted if possible

Preliminary Discussion points:

- Unanimous agreement is necessary for MDD under SPAA
  - Use existing representation processes to obtain views and make views available to the DSC Committee

- Formal appeals process is provided for
  - Proposed to use standard DSC escalation to UNCC – it is not expected that this will be utilised, but DSC Committees are a sub group of UNCC

Requirements to consume MDD Market Participant Data

- What are the requirements by the industry for consuming the Market Participant Data
  - CDSP to CSS data provision is not explicitly defined

- Frequency of issue? A regular release should be planned for amend / delete, but add participant may need to be more responsive.

- Issue when changed? If so, format?

- How are users planning to consume this data?

- Any format preferences?